

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,361		09/24/2001	Masakazu Tanaka	12-007 6343	
23400	7590	01/22/2003			
		DAVID G. POSZ	EXAMINER		
2000 L STREET, N.W. SUITE 200				WRIGHT, WILLIAM G	
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1754	4
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.		$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$
,	Application No.	plicant(s)
<u> </u>	09/960,361	TANAKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William G. Wright SR.	1754
Th MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 offer SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHY statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice understand the closed in the closed i		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐		
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •	
	ne Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	andan adadu wadan 05 H O O C	440(-) (-1) (0)
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		alla alla a Na
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	•	·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		



Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7,12-15, drawn to a ceramic catalyst body, classified in class 502, subclass 232.
 - II. Claims 8-11, drawn to a ceramic catalyst support, classified in class 502, subclass439.
 - III. Claims 16-17, drawn to a method of producing a ceramic support classified in class 502, subclass 439.
 - IV. Claim 18, drawn to a method of producing a ceramic catalyst body, classified in class 502, subclass 100.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a different process such as by coprecipitation.
- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are the catalyst body of I and a method of producing a catalyst support of III, these

Application/Control Number: 09/960,361

Art Unit: 1754

inventions are not related as the catalyst cannot be made by the method used to produce the support.

- 4. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the ceramic catalyst body of group I may be unsupported. The subcombination has separate utility such as a support for other catalyst.
- Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a different process such as by coprecipitation.
- 6. Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the support of II may be used for other catalyst. The subcombination has separate utility such as with other combinations of catalyst.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,361

Art Unit: 1754

- 7. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are the method of making a support and the method of making a catalyst these inventions are not related as the method to produce a support of III cannot be used to produce the catalyst of IV.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. A telephone call was made to David Posz on 12/24/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William G. Wright SR. whose telephone number is (703) 305-7792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday; 6:30am to 5:00pm.



Art Unit: 1754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

WGW

January 14, 2003

STEVEN BOS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100